

FROM LITTLEWOOD-RICHARDSON COEFFICIENTS TO CLUSTER ALGEBRAS IN THREE LECTURES

ANDREI ZELEVINSKY

This is an expanded version of the notes of my three lectures at a NATO Advanced Study Institute “Symmetric functions 2001: surveys of developments and perspectives” (Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge, UK; June 25 – July 6, 2001). Lecture I presents a unified expression from [4] for generalized Littlewood-Richardson coefficients (= tensor product multiplicities) for any complex semisimple Lie algebra. Lecture II outlines a proof of this result; the main idea of the proof is to relate the LR-coefficients with canonical bases and total positivity. Lecture III introduces cluster algebras, a new class of commutative algebras defined in [9] in an attempt to create an algebraic framework for canonical bases and total positivity.

CONTENTS

1. Lecture I: Generalizing the Littlewood-Richardson rule	1
1.1. Schur polynomials and Littlewood-Richardson coefficients	2
1.2. Semisimple Lie algebras	2
1.3. Semisimple Lie groups	4
1.4. A geometric realization of LR-coefficients	4
1.5. Generalized minors	6
1.6. Tropicalization and the main result	6
2. Lecture II: Canonical bases and total positivity	7
2.1. Dual realization of $c_{\lambda, \nu}^{\mu}$	7
2.2. Canonical bases and their Lusztig parametrizations	8
2.3. Transition maps	9
2.4. Total positivity in N	10
2.5. Completing the proof of Theorem 1.8	11
3. Lecture III: Introduction to cluster algebras	12
3.1. Motivations, main features and examples	12
3.2. Cluster algebras of geometric type	13
3.3. The Laurent phenomenon	14
3.4. Classification of cluster algebras of finite type	15
References	16

1. LECTURE I: GENERALIZING THE LITTLEWOOD-RICHARDSON RULE

Date: February 1, 2008.

The author was supported in part by NSF grant #DMS-9971362.

1.1. Schur polynomials and Littlewood-Richardson coefficients. Let $\Lambda_n = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$ be the ring of symmetric polynomials in n variables. Classical theory of symmetric polynomials studies several linear bases in this ring. All these bases are labeled by partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0)$ of length $\leq n$. The most important basis consists of *Schur polynomials* $s_\lambda = a_{\lambda+\delta}/a_\delta$, where $a_\alpha = \det(x_i^{\alpha_j})$, and $\delta = (n-1, \dots, 1, 0)$ (so that a_δ is the Vandermonde determinant $\prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)$). These polynomials were known long before Schur (they were already known to Cauchy, and studied systematically by Jacobi) but I. Schur was the first to discover their representation-theoretic significance. He established a bijective correspondence $\lambda \mapsto V_\lambda$ between partitions of length $\leq n$ and polynomial representations of the group $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$. Under this correspondence, the polynomial s_λ is the character of the corresponding representation V_λ :

$$s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{tr}(g, V_\lambda),$$

where $x_1, \dots, x_n$ are the eigenvalues (taken with multiplicities) of $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$.

The *Littlewood-Richardson coefficients* (LR-coefficients) are the structure constants of the ring Λ_n with respect to the basis of Schur polynomials:

$$s_\lambda s_\nu = c_{\lambda\nu}^\mu s_\mu.$$

Since the product of characters corresponds to the tensor product of representations, the LR-coefficients have the following representation-theoretic interpretation: $c_{\lambda\nu}^\mu$ is the multiplicity of V_μ in the decomposition of $V_\lambda \otimes V_\nu$ into the direct sum of irreducible representations. The *Littlewood-Richardson rule* gives an explicit combinatorial expression for $c_{\lambda\nu}^\mu$ in terms of *Young tableaux*. This is one of the highlights of the classical theory. Many different formulations and proofs of the rule are known by now (see e.g., [6] and references there). In this lecture, we present a more general result from [4] that puts the LR-rule into the general context of representations of an arbitrary complex semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Thus, we find an explicit combinatorial expression for the multiplicity $c_{\lambda\nu}^\mu$ of V_μ in $V_\lambda \otimes V_\nu$, where V_λ is an irreducible finite-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ with highest weight λ . (This expression is different from the one obtained by P. Littelmann in [13] in terms of his path model.)

1.2. Semisimple Lie algebras. Let us briefly review some basic definitions and properties of complex semisimple Lie algebras.

Definition 1.1. A *Cartan matrix* of rank r is an $r \times r$ integer matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ such that

- (1) $a_{ii} = 2$ for all i ;
- (2) $a_{ij} \leq 0$ for $i \neq j$, and $a_{ij} = 0 \Rightarrow a_{ji} = 0$;
- (3) for every non-empty subset $I \subset [1, r] = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, the principal minor $\det((a_{ij})_{i,j \in I})$ is positive.

The list of all Cartan matrices is given by the famous Cartan-Killing classification. It asserts that every Cartan matrix can be transformed by a simultaneous permutation of rows and columns into a direct sum of matrices of types $A_r (r \geq 1)$, $B_r (r \geq 2)$, $C_r (r \geq 3)$, $D_r (r \geq 4)$, E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4, G_2 . Here the subscript indicates the rank. Our standard example will be the type A_r for which $a_{ij} = -1$ if $|i - j| = 1$, and $a_{ij} = 0$ if $|i - j| > 1$.

Definition 1.2. The complex semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$ associated to a Cartan matrix A is generated by $3r$ Chevalley generators $e_i, \alpha_i^\vee$, and f_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$ with the defining relations

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j^\vee] &= 0, \quad [\alpha_i^\vee, e_j] = a_{ij}e_j, \quad [\alpha_i^\vee, f_j] = -a_{ij}f_j, \quad [e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij}\alpha_i^\vee; \\ (\text{ad } e_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(e_j) &= (\text{ad } f_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(f_j) = 0 \quad (i \neq j). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the definition of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ makes sense for every integer matrix A satisfying conditions (1) and (2) in Definition 1.1 (this is essentially a Kac-Moody algebra); condition (3) is necessary and sufficient for $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ to be finite-dimensional.

The elements $\alpha_i^\vee$ are the *simple coroots* of $\mathfrak{g}$; they form a basis of an abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ called the Cartan subalgebra. The elements e_i (resp., f_i) are called *raising* (resp., *lowering*) generators; they generate a maximal nilpotent Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}$ (resp., $\mathfrak{n}_-$) of $\mathfrak{g}$. The algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has the *triangular decomposition* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$. The *simple roots* $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ form a basis in the dual space $\mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $[h, e_i] = \alpha_i(h)e_i$, and $[h, f_i] = -\alpha_i(h)f_i$ for any $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $i \in [1, r]$. Thus, the Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ is given by $a_{ij} = \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j \rangle$, where $\langle h, \alpha \rangle$ stands for the natural pairing $\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

The *Weyl group* W of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a group of linear transformations of $\mathfrak{h}^*$ generated by *simple reflections* $s_1, \dots, s_r$ acting by $s_i(\gamma) = \gamma - \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \gamma \rangle \alpha_i$. As an abstract group, W is a finite Coxeter group; we denote by $\ell(w)$ the length function on W , i.e., the minimal length of a factorization of w into a product of simple reflections. A *reduced word* for $w \in W$ is a sequence of indices $(i_1, \dots, i_l)$ that satisfies $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_l}$ and has the shortest possible length $l = \ell(w)$. The set of all reduced words for w will be denoted by $R(w)$. As is customary, w_0 denotes the unique element of maximal length in W .

The *weight lattice* P of $\mathfrak{g}$ consists of all $\gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \gamma \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all i . Thus P has a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r$ of *fundamental weights* given by $\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \omega_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$. A weight $\lambda \in P$ is *dominant* if $\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \lambda \rangle \geq 0$ for any $i \in [1, r]$; thus $\lambda = \sum_i l_i \omega_i$ with all l_i nonnegative integers. The standard partial order on P is given as follows: $\beta < \alpha$ means that $\alpha - \beta$ is a positive integer linear combination of simple roots.

Example 1.3. For the type A_r , the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is sl_{r+1} , the algebra of $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ -matrices with trace 0. The Chevalley generators are $e_i = E_{i,i+1}$, $\alpha_i^\vee = E_{i,i} - E_{i+1,i+1}$, $f_i = E_{i+1,i}$, where the $E_{i,j}$ are matrix units. The Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ consists of diagonal matrices with trace 0, while $\mathfrak{n}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{n}_-$) consists of nilpotent upper-triangular (resp. lower-triangular) matrices. Let $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{r+1}$ denote the linear forms on the space of diagonal matrices given by $\langle E_{i,i}, \varepsilon_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$. The weight lattice P is canonically identified with $(\mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_{r+1})/\mathbb{Z}(\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_{r+1})$. The simple roots are $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}$. The Weyl group W is the symmetric group S_{r+1} acting on P by permuting the forms ε_i . The simple reflection s_i is the transposition $(i, i+1)$. The fundamental weights are $\omega_i = \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_i$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}^\vee$ denote the complex semisimple Lie algebra associated as above with the transpose Cartan matrix A^T (this algebra is sometimes referred to as the *Langlands dual* of $\mathfrak{g}$). Thus we can identify the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^\vee$ with $\mathfrak{h}^*$, and the simple roots (resp., coroots) of $\mathfrak{g}^\vee$ with simple coroots (resp., roots) of $\mathfrak{g}$. We denote the fundamental weights for $\mathfrak{g}^\vee$ by $\omega_1^\vee, \dots, \omega_r^\vee$; they are the elements of $\mathfrak{h}$ such that $\langle \omega_i^\vee, \gamma \rangle$ is the coefficient of α_i in the expansion of $\gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ in the basis of simple roots. The Weyl groups of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^\vee$ are naturally identified with each other, and

we denote both groups by the same symbol W . Thus, the simple reflections act in $\mathfrak{h}$ by $s_i(h) = h - \langle h, \alpha_i \rangle \alpha_i^\vee$.

Every finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -module V is known to be $\mathfrak{h}$ -diagonalizable, i.e., it has the *weight decomposition* $V = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in P} V(\gamma)$, where $V(\gamma) = \{v \in V : hv = \gamma(h)v \text{ for } h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$. Note that $e_i(V(\gamma)) \subset V(\gamma + \alpha_i)$ and $f_i(V(\gamma)) \subset V(\gamma - \alpha_i)$, which is why we call the e_i (resp., f_i) the raising (resp., lowering) generators. Every simple finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -module has a unique *highest weight* λ (i.e., the maximal weight with respect to the standard partial order), and this correspondence provides a bijection $\lambda \mapsto V_\lambda$ between dominant weights and isomorphism classes of simple finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. Extending the LR-coefficients, we denote by $c_{\lambda, \nu}^\mu$ the multiplicity of V_μ in the tensor product $V_\lambda \otimes V_\nu$. Our aim is to give an explicit expression for these multiplicities.

1.3. Semisimple Lie groups. Let G be a simply connected complex semisimple Lie group with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. For $i \in [1, r]$, we denote by $x_i(t)$ and $y_i(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$, the one-parameter subgroups in G given by

$$x_i(t) = \exp(te_i), \quad y_i(t) = \exp(tf_i).$$

Let N (resp., N_-) be the maximal unipotent subgroup of G generated by all $x_i(t)$ (resp., $y_i(t)$). Let H be the maximal torus in G with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$. Let $B = HN$ and $B_- = HN_-$ be two opposite Borel subgroups, so that $H = B_- \cap B$. For every $i \in [1, r]$, let $\varphi_i : SL_2 \rightarrow G$ denote the canonical embedding corresponding to the simple root α_i ; thus we have

$$x_i(t) = \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y_i(t) = \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We also set

$$t^{\alpha_i^\vee} = \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in H$$

for any i and any $t \neq 0$.

The Weyl group W of $\mathfrak{g}$ is naturally identified with $\text{Norm}_G(H)/H$; this identification sends each simple reflection s_i to the coset $\overline{s_i}H$, where the representative $\overline{s_i} \in \text{Norm}_G(H)$ is defined by

$$\overline{s_i} = \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The elements $\overline{s_i}$ satisfy the braid relations in W ; thus the representative $\overline{w}$ can be unambiguously defined for any $w \in W$ by requiring that $\overline{uw} = \overline{u} \cdot \overline{w}$ whenever $\ell(uv) = \ell(u) + \ell(v)$.

The weight lattice P is identified with the group of multiplicative characters of H , written here in the exponential notation: a weight $\gamma \in P$ acts by $h \mapsto h^\gamma$. Under this identification, the fundamental weights $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r$ are given by $(t^{\alpha_i^\vee})^{\omega_j} = t^{\delta_{i,j}}$. The action of W on P can be now written as $h^{w(\gamma)} = (w^{-1}hw)^\gamma$ for $w \in W$, $h \in H$, $\gamma \in P$.

1.4. A geometric realization of LR-coefficients. For an algebraic variety X , let $\mathbb{C}[X]$ denote the algebra of regular (algebraic) functions on X . In particular, we consider the algebra $\mathbb{C}[N]$. Since H normalizes N , the algebra $\mathbb{C}[N]$ has a Q_+ -grading, where Q_+ is the additive subsemigroup in $\mathfrak{h}^*$ spanned by the simple roots

α_i : for $\gamma \in Q_+$, the corresponding homogeneous component is given by

$$\mathbb{C}[N](\gamma) = \{F \in \mathbb{C}[N] : F(hnh^{-1}) = h^\gamma F(n) \text{ for } h \in H, n \in N\} .$$

Let us define linear operators L_i and R_i on $\mathbb{C}[N]$ by

$$L_i F(n) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} F(x_i(t)n), \quad R_i F(n) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} F(nx_i(t)) .$$

Proposition 1.4. *For any three dominant weights λ , μ and ν , we have*

$$c_{\lambda, \nu}^\mu = \dim \{F \in \mathbb{C}[N](\lambda + \nu - \mu) : L_i^{\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \lambda \rangle + 1} F = R_i^{\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \nu \rangle + 1} F = 0 \text{ for } i \in [1, r]\} .$$

This proposition is classical. Let us outline the proof, which proceeds in three steps. The first step is to use the following interpretation of multiplicities (see [18]):

$$(1.1) \quad c_{\lambda, \nu}^\mu = \dim V_\lambda(\mu - \nu; \nu) ,$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad V_\lambda(\gamma; \nu) = \{v \in V_\lambda(\gamma) : e_i^{\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \nu \rangle + 1}(v) = 0 \text{ for all } i\} .$$

Second, for every dominant weight λ , we shall denote by the same symbol V_λ the irreducible finite-dimensional representation of G obtained from the $\mathfrak{g}$ -module V_λ via exponentiation. An explicit geometric realization of V_λ can be given as follows. Note that if G acts on the right in an algebraic variety X , then $\mathbb{C}[X]$ becomes a linear representation of G via $gF(x) = F(xg)$. We apply this construction to $X = N_- \backslash G$; this variety is called the *base affine space* of G . We have

$$\mathbb{C}[N_- \backslash G] = \{F \in \mathbb{C}[G] : F(n_-g) = F(g) \text{ for } g \in G, n_- \in N_-\} .$$

Since H normalizes N_- , it acts on the left in $N_- \backslash G$ via $h(N_-g) = hN_-g = N_-hg$. Then V_λ can be realized as a subrepresentation in $\mathbb{C}[N_- \backslash G]$ given by

$$V_\lambda = \{F \in \mathbb{C}[N_- \backslash G] : F(hx) = h^\lambda F(x) \text{ for } x \in N_- \backslash G, h \in H\} .$$

Furthermore, $\mathbb{C}[N_- \backslash G]$ is the direct sum of all V_λ , for all dominant weights λ .

Finally, the above realization can be modified as follows. Let $G_0 = N_-HN$ denote the open subset of elements $x \in G$ that have a Gaussian decomposition; this (unique) decomposition will be written as $x = [x]_-[x]_0[x]_+$. Thus, we have an open embedding $B = N_- \backslash G_0 \hookrightarrow N_- \backslash G$ inducing an embedding (via restriction) $\mathbb{C}[N_- \backslash G] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[B]$. Each $F \in V_\lambda$ viewed as a function on B satisfies $F(hn) = h^\lambda F(n)$ for $n \in N$ and $h \in H$. Thus, F is uniquely determined by its restriction to N , which allows us to embed V_λ into $\mathbb{C}[N]$. (Note that in this realization, different irreducible representations V_λ are no longer disjoint.) It remains to notice that, under this embedding, the subspace $V_\lambda(\gamma; \nu)$ (see (1.2)) becomes the following subspace in $\mathbb{C}[N]$:

$$(1.3) \quad V_\lambda(\gamma; \nu) = \{F \in \mathbb{C}[N](\lambda - \gamma) : L_i^{\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \lambda \rangle + 1} F = R_i^{\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \nu \rangle + 1} F = 0 \text{ for all } i\} .$$

1.5. Generalized minors. Following [7], we now introduce a family of regular functions on G generalizing the minors of a matrix. For $u, v \in W$ and $i \in [1, r]$, the *generalized minor* $\Delta_{u\omega_i, v\omega_i}$ is the regular function on G whose restriction to the open set $\bar{u}G_0\bar{v}^{-1}$ is given by

$$(1.4) \quad \Delta_{u\omega_i, v\omega_i}(x) = ([\bar{u}^{-1}x\bar{v}]_0)^{\omega_i}.$$

As shown in [7], $\Delta_{u\omega_i, v\omega_i}$ is well defined, and it depends on the weights $u\omega_i$ and $v\omega_i$ alone, not on the particular choice of u and v . In the special case of type A_r , where $G = SL_{r+1}$, we have $u\omega_i = \sum_{i \in I} \varepsilon_i$ and $v\omega_i = \sum_{i \in J} \varepsilon_i$ for some subsets $I, J \subset [1, r+1]$ of the same size i ; it is then an easy exercise to show that $\Delta_{u\omega_i, v\omega_i} = \Delta_{I, J}$, the minor with the row set I and the column set J .

Many familiar properties of minors can be extended to generalized minors. For example, we have $\Delta_{\gamma, \delta}(x^T) = \Delta_{\delta, \gamma}(x)$, where $x \mapsto x^T$ is the “transpose” involutive antiautomorphism of G given by

$$(1.5) \quad h^T = h \quad (h \in H), \quad x_i(t)^T = y_i(t), \quad y_i(t)^T = x_i(t).$$

Now we present some less obvious identities for generalized minors. The following identity was obtained in [7, Theorem 1.17]. For the type A_r , it plays a crucial role in C. L. Dodgson’s condensation method, and is because of that occasionally associated with the name of Lewis Carroll.

Proposition 1.5. *Suppose $u, v \in W$ and $i \in [1, r]$ are such that $\ell(us_i) = \ell(u) + 1$ and $\ell(vs_i) = \ell(v) + 1$. Then*

$$\Delta_{u\omega_i, v\omega_i} \Delta_{us_i\omega_i, vs_i\omega_i} = \Delta_{us_i\omega_i, v\omega_i} \Delta_{u\omega_i, vs_i\omega_i} + \prod_{j \neq i} \Delta_{u\omega_j, v\omega_j}^{-a_{ji}}.$$

The next proposition presents a special case of generalized Plücker relations first obtained in [3, Corollary 6.6].

Proposition 1.6. *Let $w \in W$ and $i, j \in [1, r]$ be such that $a_{ij} = a_{ji} = -1$ and $\ell(ws_i s_j s_i) = \ell(w) + 3$. Then*

$$\Delta_{\omega_i, ws_i\omega_i} \Delta_{\omega_j, ws_j\omega_j} = \Delta_{\omega_i, w\omega_i} \Delta_{\omega_j, ws_i s_j \omega_j} + \Delta_{\omega_i, ws_j s_i \omega_i} \Delta_{\omega_j, w\omega_j}.$$

If $a_{ij}a_{ji}$ is equal to 2 or 3, the corresponding Plücker relations are more complicated; see [3, 4, 7].

We will be especially interested in the restrictions of generalized minors to B or N . One can show that $\Delta_{\gamma, \delta}|_B = 0$ unless $\gamma \geq \delta$. More precisely, the following characterization follows easily from [4, Theorem 5.8].

Proposition 1.7. *Let $\gamma = u\omega_i$ and $\delta = v\omega_i$. The minor $\Delta_{\gamma, \delta}$ has non-zero restriction to B if and only if the weight subspace $V_{\omega_i}(\gamma)$ is contained in the $\mathfrak{n}$ -submodule of V_{ω_i} generated by $V_{\omega_i}(\delta)$.*

We shall call the pairs (γ, δ) as in Proposition 1.7 (as well as the corresponding minors) *upper-triangular*.

1.6. Tropicalization and the main result. Let us introduce a family of commuting variables $M_{\gamma, \delta}$ labeled by upper-triangular pairs of weights (γ, δ) . Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the variety of tuples $(M_{\gamma, \delta})$, whose components satisfy the relations in Propositions 1.5 and 1.6, where all non-upper-triangular minors are specialized to 0, and each $\Delta_{\gamma, \delta}$ is replaced by $M_{\gamma, \delta}$ (in the non-simply-laced case, we also impose the remaining Plücker relations mentioned above). The correspondence

$b \mapsto (M_{\gamma,\delta} = \Delta_{\gamma,\delta}(b))$ is a well-defined regular map $B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$; the results in [7] imply that it is a birational isomorphism.

An important feature of $\mathcal{M}$ is that all the defining relations are *subtraction-free*, i.e., they only involve addition and multiplication. This makes it possible to consider the *tropical specialization* $\mathcal{M}_{\text{trop}}$, taking all components $M_{\gamma,\delta}$ to be integers, and replacing the usual addition and multiplication with their tropical versions given by

$$(1.6) \quad a \oplus b = \min(a, b), \quad a \odot b = a + b.$$

We shall also denote by $\mathcal{M}^\vee$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\text{trop}}^\vee$ the corresponding varieties associated with $\mathfrak{g}^\vee$, the Langlands dual Lie algebra.

Finally, everything is ready for presenting our expression for the generalized LR-coefficients (cf. [4, Theorem 5.15]).

Theorem 1.8. *For any three dominant weights λ, μ, ν for $\mathfrak{g}$, the multiplicity $c_{\lambda,\nu}^\mu$ is equal to the number of integer tuples $(M_{\gamma,\delta}) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{trop}}^\vee$ satisfying the following conditions for any $i \in [1, r]$:*

- (0) $M_{\omega_i^\vee, \omega_i^\vee} = 0$;
- (1) $M_{\omega_i^\vee, s_i \omega_i^\vee} \geq 0$;
- (2) $M_{\omega_i^\vee, w_0 \omega_i^\vee} = \langle \omega_i^\vee, \lambda + \nu - \mu \rangle$;
- (3) $M_{s_i \omega_i^\vee, w_0 \omega_i^\vee} \geq \langle \omega_i^\vee, s_i \lambda + \nu - \mu \rangle$;
- (4) $M_{\omega_i^\vee, w_0 s_i \omega_i^\vee} \geq \langle \omega_i^\vee, \lambda + s_i \nu - \mu \rangle$.

It would be interesting to find a geometric proof of Theorem 1.8 in the spirit of [5, 17]. An outline of the proof from [4] will be presented in the next lecture.

2. LECTURE II: CANONICAL BASES AND TOTAL POSITIVITY

2.1. Dual realization of $c_{\lambda,\nu}^\mu$. The universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{n})$ is Q_+ -graded via $\deg(e_i) = \alpha_i$ for all i . Realizing elements of $U(\mathfrak{n})$ as left-invariant differential operators on N , we obtain, for every $\gamma \in Q_+$, a natural non-degenerate pairing between $U(\mathfrak{n})(\gamma)$ and $\mathbb{C}[N](\gamma)$, thus identifying $U(\mathfrak{n})(\gamma)$ with the dual space $(\mathbb{C}[N](\gamma))^*$. Under this identification, the dual of the subspace $V_\lambda(\gamma; \nu)$ defined in (1.3) becomes the homogeneous component of degree $\lambda - \gamma$ in

$$U(\mathfrak{n}) / \sum_i \left(e_i^{\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \lambda \rangle + 1} U(\mathfrak{n}) + U(\mathfrak{n}) e_i^{\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \nu \rangle + 1} \right).$$

Therefore, to prove Theorem 1.8 it suffices to show the following.

Proposition 2.1. *There exists a linear basis $\mathcal{B}$ in $U(\mathfrak{n})$ satisfying the following properties:*

- (1) $\mathcal{B}$ consists of homogeneous elements, and every subspace of the form

$$\sum_i (e_i^{l_i+1} U(\mathfrak{n}) + U(\mathfrak{n}) e_i^{n_i+1}) \quad (l_i, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$$

is spanned by a part of $\mathcal{B}$.

- (2) $\mathcal{B}$ is labeled by the set of integer tuples $(M_{\gamma,\delta}) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{trop}}^\vee$ such that $M_{\omega_i^\vee, \omega_i^\vee} = 0$ and $M_{\omega_i^\vee, s_i \omega_i^\vee} \geq 0$ for all i .
- (3) An element of $\mathcal{B}$ has degree $\lambda + \nu - \mu$ and does not belong to the subspace $\sum_i (e_i^{\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \lambda \rangle + 1} U(\mathfrak{n}) + U(\mathfrak{n}) e_i^{\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \nu \rangle + 1})$ if and only if the corresponding tuple $(M_{\gamma,\delta})$ satisfies conditions (2)–(4) in Theorem 1.8.

We shall show that $\mathcal{B}$ can be chosen as the specialization at $q = 1$ of Lusztig's *canonical basis* in the quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{n})$.

2.2. Canonical bases and their Lusztig parametrizations. Let us recall some basic facts about quantized universal enveloping algebras and their canonical bases. Unless otherwise stated, all results in this section are due to G. Lusztig and can be found in [14]. The quantized universal enveloping algebra $U = U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined as follows. Fix positive integers $d_1, \dots, d_r$ such that $d_i a_{ij} = d_j a_{ji}$, where (a_{ij}) is the Cartan matrix of $\mathfrak{g}$. The algebra U is a $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -algebra with unit generated by the elements $E_i, K_i^{\pm 1}$, and F_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$ subject to the relations

$$K_i K_j = K_j K_i, \quad K_i E_j K_i^{-1} = q^{d_i a_{ij}} E_j, \quad K_i F_j K_i^{-1} = q^{-d_i a_{ij}} F_j,$$

$$E_i F_j - F_j E_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q^{d_i} - q^{-d_i}}$$

for all i and j , and the *quantum Serre relations*

$$\sum_{k+l=1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k E_i^{(k)} E_j E_i^{(l)} = \sum_{k+l=1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k F_i^{(k)} F_j F_i^{(l)} = 0$$

for $i \neq j$. Here $E_i^{(k)}$ and $F_i^{(k)}$ stand for the *divided powers* defined by

$$E_i^{(k)} = \frac{1}{[1]_i [2]_i \cdots [k]_i} E_i^k, \quad F_i^{(k)} = \frac{1}{[1]_i [2]_i \cdots [k]_i} F_i^k,$$

where $[l]_i = \frac{q^{d_i l} - q^{-d_i l}}{q^{d_i} - q^{-d_i}}$. The algebra U is graded by the root lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$ via

$$\deg(K_i) = 0, \quad \deg(E_i) = -\deg(F_i) = \alpha_i.$$

To each $i = 1, \dots, r$, Lusztig associates an algebra automorphism T_i of U uniquely determined by:

$$T_i(K_j) = K_j K_i^{-a_{ij}} \quad (j = 1, \dots, r),$$

$$T_i(E_i) = -K_i^{-1} F_i, \quad T_i(F_i) = -E_i K_i,$$

and, for all $j \neq i$,

$$T_i(E_j) = \sum_{k+l=-a_{ij}} (-1)^k q^{-d_i k} E_i^{(k)} E_j E_i^{(l)},$$

$$T_i(F_j) = \sum_{k+l=-a_{ij}} (-1)^k q^{d_i k} F_i^{(l)} F_j F_i^{(k)}.$$

(This automorphism was denoted by $T'_{i,-1}$ in [14].) The T_i satisfy the braid relations and so extend to an action of the braid group on U .

Let $U^+ = U_q(\mathfrak{n})$ denote the subalgebra of U generated by $E_1, \dots, E_r$. We now recall Lusztig's definitions of the PBW-type bases and the canonical basis in U^+ . For a reduced word $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m) \in R(w_0)$, and an m -tuple $t = (t_1, \dots, t_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$, denote

$$p_{\mathbf{i}}^{(t)} := E_{i_1}^{(t_1)} T_{i_1}(E_{i_2}^{(t_2)}) \cdots (T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{m-1}})(E_{i_m}^{(t_m)}).$$

As shown in [14], all these elements belong to U^+ . For a given $\mathbf{i}$, the set of all $p_{\mathbf{i}}^{(t)}$ with $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ is called the *PBW type basis* corresponding to $\mathbf{i}$ and is denoted by $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$. This terminology is justified by the following proposition proved in [14, Corollary 40.2.2].

Proposition 2.2. *For every $\mathbf{i} \in R(w_0)$, the set $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is a $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -basis of U_+ .*

According to [16, Proposition 8.2], the canonical basis $\mathcal{B}$ of U^+ can now be defined as follows. Let $u \mapsto \bar{u}$ denote the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear involutive algebra automorphism of U^+ such that $\bar{q} = q^{-1}$, $\bar{E}_i = E_i$.

Proposition 2.3. *For every $\mathbf{i} \in R(w_0)$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$, there is a unique element $b = b_{\mathbf{i}}(t)$ of U^+ such that $\bar{b} = b$, and $b - p_{\mathbf{i}}^{(t)}$ is a linear combination of the elements of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ with coefficients in $q^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}]$. The set $\{b_{\mathbf{i}}(t) : t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m\}$ does not depend on the choice of $\mathbf{i}$; it is called the canonical basis and denoted by $\mathcal{B}$.*

In view of Proposition 2.3, any $\mathbf{i} \in R(w_0)$ gives rise to a bijection $b_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. We refer to these bijections as *Lusztig parametrizations* of $\mathcal{B}$. Let us summarize some of their properties. To do this, we need some more notation.

Let $i \mapsto i^*$ denote the involution on $[1, r]$ defined by $w_0(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_{i^*}$. For every sequence $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$, we denote by $\mathbf{i}^*$ and $\mathbf{i}^{\text{op}}$ the sequences

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbf{i}^* = (i_1^*, \dots, i_m^*), \quad \mathbf{i}^{\text{op}} = (i_m, \dots, i_1);$$

clearly, both operations $\mathbf{i} \mapsto \mathbf{i}^*$ and $\mathbf{i} \mapsto \mathbf{i}^{\text{op}}$ preserve the set of reduced words $R(w_0)$.

Proposition 2.4.

- (i) *Any canonical basis vector $b_{\mathbf{i}}(t) \in \mathcal{B}$ is homogeneous of degree $\sum_k t_k \cdot s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}} \alpha_{i_k}$.*
- (ii) *Every subspace of the form $E_{\mathbf{i}}^n U^+$ in U^+ is spanned by a subset of $\mathcal{B}$. Furthermore, let $l_i(b)$ denote the maximal integer n such that $b \in E_{\mathbf{i}}^n U^+$; then, for any $\mathbf{i} \in R(w_0)$ which begins with $i_1 = i$, we have $l_i(b_{\mathbf{i}}(t_1, \dots, t_m)) = t_1$.*
- (iii) *The canonical basis $\mathcal{B}$ is stable under the involutive $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -linear algebra anti-automorphism $E \rightarrow E^t$ of U^+ such that $E_i^t = E_i$ for all i . Furthermore, we have $b_{\mathbf{i}}(t)^t = b_{\mathbf{i}^{\text{op}}}(\mathbf{t}^{\text{op}})$.*

As a corollary, we obtain the following interpretation of $c_{\lambda, \nu}^{\mu}$ in terms of the canonical basis.

Corollary 2.5. *The coefficient $c_{\lambda, \nu}^{\mu}$ is equal to the number of vectors $b \in \mathcal{B}$ of degree $\lambda + \nu - \mu$ satisfying the following property: if $b = b_{\mathbf{i}}(t_1, \dots, t_m)$, and $\mathbf{i} \in R(w_0)$ begins with i and ends with j then $t_1 \leq \langle \alpha_i^{\vee}, \lambda \rangle$ and $t_m \leq \langle \alpha_j^{\vee}, \nu \rangle$.*

2.3. Transition maps. Let $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{i}'$ be two reduced words for w_0 . In view of Proposition 2.3, there is a bijective *transition map*

$$R_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}'} = (b_{\mathbf{i}'})^{-1} \circ b_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$$

between the corresponding Lusztig parametrizations of the canonical basis $\mathcal{B}$. It turns out that each component of a tuple $R_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}'}(t)$ can be expressed through the components of t as a “tropical” subtraction-free rational expression.

Example 2.6. Let $\mathfrak{g} = sl_3$ be of type A_2 , and let $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1)$ and $\mathbf{i}' = (2, 1, 2)$ be the two reduced words for w_0 . The transition map $R_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}'}$ between two Lusztig parametrizations was computed in [14]: the components of $t' = R_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}'}(t)$ are given by

$$t'_1 = t_2 + t_3 - \min(t_1, t_3), \quad t'_2 = \min(t_1, t_3), \quad t'_3 = t_1 + t_2 - \min(t_1, t_3),$$

which can also be written as

$$(2.2) \quad t'_1 = \left[\frac{t_2 t_3}{t_1 + t_3} \right]_{\text{trop}}, \quad t'_2 = [t_1 + t_3]_{\text{trop}}, \quad t'_3 = \left[\frac{t_1 t_2}{t_1 + t_3} \right]_{\text{trop}}.$$

Lusztig observed that the same formula (2.2) understood in a usual (non-tropical) sense describes the transition map that relates the parameters in two factorizations of a matrix $x \in N \subset G = SL_3$: if

$$x = x_1(t_1)x_2(t_2)x_1(t_3) = x_2(t'_1)x_1(t'_2)x_2(t'_3)$$

then the t'_i are expressed through the t_i via

$$t'_1 = \frac{t_2 t_3}{t_1 + t_3}, \quad t'_2 = t_1 + t_3, \quad t'_3 = \frac{t_1 t_2}{t_1 + t_3}.$$

The birational transformation $(t_1, t_2, t_3) \mapsto (t'_1, t'_2, t'_3)$ becomes a bijection if we restrict it to the tuples (t_i) and (t'_i) of *positive* real numbers. To generalize this observation to an arbitrary semisimple group G , we will need the notion of *total positivity*.

2.4. Total positivity in N . Following G. Lusztig [15], we define the subset $G_{\geq 0}$ of *totally nonnegative* elements in G as the multiplicative monoid with unit generated by the elements $t^{\alpha_i^\vee}$, $x_i(t)$, and $y_i(t)$ for all i and all positive real t . As proved in [8], $x \in G$ is totally nonnegative if and only if all generalized minors $\Delta_{\gamma, \delta}$ take nonnegative real values at x . In these notes, we shall only need the set $N_{>0}$ of *totally positive* elements of N . It can be defined as follows:

$$(2.3) \quad N_{>0} = G_{\geq 0} \cap N \cap B_- w_0 B_-.$$

The following proposition which is an easy consequence of results in [15, 7] provides three more equivalent definitions of $N_{>0}$.

Proposition 2.7. *An element $x \in N$ is totally positive if and only if it satisfies each of the following conditions:*

- (1) $\Delta_{\gamma, \delta}(x) > 0$ for any upper-triangular (γ, δ) .
- (2) For any reduced word $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m) \in R(w_0)$, there is a unique factorization $x = x_{i_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_m}(t_m)$ with all t_k positive real numbers.
- (3) $x \in G_{\geq 0}$, and $\Delta_{\omega_i, w_0 \omega_i}(x) > 0$ for all i .

In view of condition (2) in Proposition 2.7, any reduced word $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m) \in R(w_0)$ gives rise to a bijection $x_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \rightarrow N_{>0}$ given by

$$x_{\mathbf{i}}(t_1, \dots, t_m) = x_{i_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_m}(t_m).$$

It follows that, for any $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}' \in R(w_0)$, there is a bijective transition map

$$\tilde{R}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}'} = (x_{\mathbf{i}'})^{-1} \circ x_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$$

that relates the corresponding parametrizations of the totally positive variety $N_{>0}$. We shall use the notation $(\tilde{R}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}'})^\vee$ for the transition maps defined in the same way for the group $G^\vee$ associated with the Langlands dual Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^\vee$. The following theorem is a special case of [4, Theorem 5.2].

Theorem 2.8.

- (i) Each component of $\tilde{R}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}'}(t)$ is a subtraction-free rational expression in the components of t .
- (ii) Each component of Lusztig's transition map $R_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}'}$ between two parametrizations of the canonical basis is the tropicalization of the corresponding component of $(\tilde{R}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}'})^\vee$.

Outline of the proof. We use the following well known result due to Tits: every two reduced words of the same element of W can be obtained from each other by a sequence of braid moves. Using this result, we reduce both claims in Theorem 2.8 to the rank 2 case. The latter is done by a direct case-by-case computation.

2.5. Completing the proof of Theorem 1.8. Let us consider the variety $\mathcal{M}$ introduced in Section 1.6. Let $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ denote the “totally positive” part of $\mathcal{M}$ formed by the tuples $(M_{\gamma,\delta})$ whose components are positive real numbers. The following proposition is a special case of [4, Theorem 5.13].

Proposition 2.9. *The map $B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ given by $x \mapsto (M_{\gamma,\delta} = \Delta_{\gamma,\delta}(x))$ restricts to a bijection between $N_{>0}$ and the set $\mathcal{M}_{>0}^{\text{unip}}$ of all tuples $(M_{\omega_i,\gamma}) \in \mathcal{M}_{>0}$ such that $M_{\omega_i,\omega_i} = 1$ for all i .*

Now let us fix a reduced word $\mathbf{i} \in R(w_0)$. Combining the bijection $N_{>0} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{>0}^{\text{unip}}$ in Proposition 2.9 with the bijection $x_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \rightarrow N_{>0}$, we obtain a bijection $\mu_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{>0}^{\text{unip}}$. Both $\mu_{\mathbf{i}}$ and its inverse are given by subtraction-free rational expressions but nice explicit expressions for them are not known. However, we shall only need some partial information: if $\mu_{\mathbf{i}}(t_1, \dots, t_m) = (M_{\gamma,\delta})$ then we have

$$(2.4) \quad t_1 = \frac{M_{\omega_{i_1}, w_0 \omega_{i_1}}}{M_{s_{i_1} \omega_{i_1}, w_0 \omega_{i_1}}}, \quad t_m = \frac{M_{\omega_{i_m}^*, w_0 \omega_{i_m}^*}}{M_{\omega_{i_m}^*, s_{i_m} w_0 \omega_{i_m}^*}}$$

(cf. formula (4.19) in [4]), and

$$(2.5) \quad M_{\omega_i, s_i \omega_i} = \sum_{k: i_k = i} t_k.$$

Now all the ingredients are in place for completing the proofs of Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 1.8. With some abuse of notation, let us denote by the same symbol $\mathcal{B}$ the canonical basis of U^+ and the basis of $U(\mathfrak{n})$ obtained from it by specializing q to 1. For the latter basis, property (1) in Proposition 2.1 follows from Proposition 2.4. Combining the inverse of Lusztig’s parametrization $b_{\mathbf{i}}$ with the tropical version of the bijection $\mu_{\mathbf{i}}^{\vee}$ (here $\mu_{\mathbf{i}}^{\vee}$ is defined in the same way as $\mu_{\mathbf{i}}$ above but for the Langlands dual Lie algebra), we obtain an embedding $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{trop}}^{\vee}$. By part (ii) of Theorem 2.8, this embedding does not depend on the choice of a reduced word $\mathbf{i}$. It remains to show that the image of this embedding is given by the conditions in Theorem 1.8. Now condition (0) is the tropical version of the equality $M_{\omega_i, \omega_i} = 1$ in Proposition 2.9. By taking the tropical version of (2.5), we see that condition (1) simply means that all components t_k in Lusztig’s parametrization of the canonical basis are nonnegative. Finally, conditions (2) – (4) are the result of rewriting the conditions in Corollary 2.5 in terms of the $M_{\gamma,\delta}$; this is done with the help of (2.4).

Remark 2.10. The inverse bijection $x_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} : N_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$ was computed in [3] (for the type A_r , this was done in [1]). Further generalizations of this result can be found in [7, 4]. An interesting feature of the answer is that the parameters t_k in the factorization $x = x_{\mathbf{i}}(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ are expressed (in a quite simple way) in terms of generalized minors evaluated not at x but at another element obtained from x by some birational transformation (“twist”). However, in view of Corollary 2.5, to compute the multiplicities $c_{\lambda^{\vee}}^{\mu}$, we only need explicit expressions for the first and the last of the t_k ; luckily, these two parameters can be expressed in terms of minors of x via (2.4).

3. LECTURE III: INTRODUCTION TO CLUSTER ALGEBRAS

3.1. Motivations, main features and examples. After providing the canonical basis $\mathcal{B}$ with a combinatorial parametrization, the next natural step is to study the algebraic structure of $\mathcal{B}$. We shall focus on the classical case $q = 1$, and, instead of talking about the basis $\mathcal{B}$ in $U(\mathfrak{n})$, turn our attention to the dual basis $\mathcal{B}^{\text{dual}}$ in the ring of regular functions $\mathbb{C}[N]$ (recall from Section 2.1 that each homogeneous component $\mathbb{C}[N](\gamma)$ is naturally identified with the dual space $(U(\mathfrak{n})(\gamma))^*$). One can expect $\mathcal{B}^{\text{dual}}$ to be more tractable since, in contrast to $U(\mathfrak{n})$, the algebra $\mathbb{C}[N]$ is commutative. (This distinction disappears under the q -deformation: the algebra $\mathbb{C}_q[N]$ is not only non-commutative but in fact isomorphic to $U^+ = U_q(\mathfrak{n})$.) Another motivation for studying $\mathcal{B}^{\text{dual}}$ comes from the theory of total positivity: this connection is given by an important result (essentially due to G. Lusztig [15]) that every function from $\mathcal{B}^{\text{dual}}$ takes positive real values on the totally positive variety $N_{>0}$.

The dual canonical basis $\mathcal{B}^{\text{dual}}$ was constructed explicitly in several small rank cases: for the types A_2 , B_2 and A_3 this was done, respectively in [11], [19] and [2]. In all these cases, $\mathcal{B}^{\text{dual}}$ consists of certain monomials in a distinguished family of generators. (A word of warning: this basis is very different from the standard monomial basis studied extensively by Lakshmibai, Seshadri and their collaborators.) The monomials that constitute $\mathcal{B}^{\text{dual}}$ are defined by not allowing certain pairs of generators to appear together. In each case, the product of every two “incompatible” generators can be expressed as the sum of two allowed monomials. Such *binomial exchange relations* turn out to play a very important part in the study of canonical bases and total positivity undertaken by the author and his collaborators (see, e.g., [1, 3, 4, 7, 20, 22]); important instances of these relations are presented in Propositions 1.5 and 1.6.

Inspired by all this work, a new class of commutative algebras called *cluster algebras* was introduced in [9] as an attempt to create a natural algebraic framework for the study of the dual canonical basis and total positivity. Before discussing precise definitions, let us present some of the main features of cluster algebras.

For any positive integer n , a cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of rank n is a commutative algebra with unit and no zero divisors over a finite polynomial ring, equipped with a distinguished family of generators called *cluster variables*. The set of cluster variables is the (non-disjoint) union of a distinguished collection of n -subsets called *clusters*. These clusters have the following *exchange property*: for any cluster $\mathbf{x}$ and any element $x \in \mathbf{x}$, there is another cluster obtained from $\mathbf{x}$ by replacing x with an element x' related to x by a binomial exchange relation

$$xx' = M_1 + M_2 ,$$

where M_1 and M_2 are disjoint monomials in the $n-1$ variables $\mathbf{x} - \{x\}$. Furthermore, any two clusters can be obtained from each other by a sequence of exchanges of this kind.

The prototypical example of a cluster algebra of rank 1 is the coordinate ring $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[SL_2]$ of the group SL_2 , viewed in the following way. Writing a generic element of SL_2 as $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, we consider the entries a and d as cluster variables, and the entries b and c as scalars. There are two clusters $\{a\}$ and $\{d\}$, and $\mathcal{A}$ is the algebra over the ground ring $\mathbb{C}[b, c]$ generated by the cluster variables a and d

subject to the binomial exchange relation

$$ad = 1 + bc .$$

Another important incarnation of a cluster algebra of rank 1 is the coordinate ring $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[N_- \setminus G]$ of the base affine space of the special linear group $G = SL_3$; here N is the maximal unipotent subgroup of SL_3 consisting of all unipotent upper triangular matrices. Using the standard notation $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{23})$ for the Plücker coordinates on $N_- \setminus G$, we view x_2 and x_{13} as cluster variables; then $\mathcal{A}$ is the algebra over the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_3, x_{12}, x_{23}]$ generated by the two cluster variables x_2 and x_{13} subject to the binomial exchange relation

$$x_2 x_{13} = x_1 x_{23} + x_3 x_{12} .$$

(Note that in this example the dual canonical basis consists of all monomials in the six Plücker coordinates that are not divisible by $x_2 x_{13}$.)

A nice example of a cluster algebra of an arbitrary rank n is the homogeneous coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[Gr_{2,n+3}]$ of the Grassmannian of 2-dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^{n+3}$. This ring is generated by the Plücker coordinates x_{ij} , for $1 \leq i < j \leq n+3$, subject to the relations

$$x_{ik} x_{jl} = x_{ij} x_{kl} + x_{il} x_{jk} ,$$

for all $i < j < k < l$. It is convenient to identify the indices $1, \dots, n+3$ with the vertices of a convex $(n+3)$ -gon, and the Plücker coordinates with its sides and diagonals. Let us view the sides of the polygon as scalars, and the diagonals as cluster variables. The clusters are the maximal families of pairwise non-crossing diagonals; thus, they are in a natural bijection with the triangulations of the polygon. It is known that the monomials in Plücker coordinates not involving crossing diagonals form a linear basis in $\mathbb{C}[Gr_{2,n+3}]$. To be more specific, this ring is naturally identified with the ring of polynomial SL_2 -invariants of an $(n+3)$ -tuple of points in $\mathbb{C}^2$. Under this isomorphism, the above basis corresponds to the basis considered in [12, 21].

3.2. Cluster algebras of geometric type. For the sake of simplicity, we shall only discuss a special class of cluster algebras from [9] called *cluster algebras of geometric type*; this case covers most applications that we have in mind.

Let $I \subset \tilde{I}$ be two finite index sets of cardinalities $|I| = n \leq m = |\tilde{I}|$. We also denote $I^c = \tilde{I} - I$, and introduce a family of commuting variables p_i for $i \in I^c$. Let $\tilde{B} = (b_{ij})$ be an integer $m \times n$ matrix with rows indexed by $\tilde{I}$ and columns indexed by I . We call the submatrix $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ the *principal part* of $\tilde{B}$, and the complementary submatrix $B^c = (b_{ij})_{i \in I^c, j \in I}$ the *complementary part*. The only requirement on $\tilde{B}$ is that its principal part B must be *skew-symmetrizable*, i.e., DB is skew-symmetric for some diagonal $I \times I$ matrix D with positive integer diagonal entries. To every such $\tilde{B}$, we associate the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{B})$ over the ground ring $S = \mathbb{Z}[p_i : i \in I^c]$. This is done in four steps.

Step 1. Let $\mathbb{T}_n$ denote the n -regular tree whose edges are labeled by the elements of I so that the n edges emanating from each vertex receive different labels. We will write $t \overset{i}{-} t'$ if vertices $t, t' \in \mathbb{T}_n$ are joined by an edge labeled by i . To every vertex $t \in \mathbb{T}_n$, we associate a *cluster* $\mathbf{x}(t)$ consisting of n independent *cluster variables* $x_i(t)$ for $i \in I$. We also set $x_i(t) = p_i$ for $i \in I^c$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}_n$.

Step 2. Pick a vertex $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}_n$, and set $\tilde{B}(t_0) = \tilde{B}$. Using the matrix $\tilde{B}$ as a starting point, let us now construct a family of integer $\tilde{I} \times I$ -matrices $(\tilde{B}(t))$, one for each vertex $t \in \mathbb{T}_n$. This is done with the help of the following operation called *matrix mutation*: for every $k \in I$, define $\tilde{B}' = \mu_k(\tilde{B})$ by setting

$$(3.1) \quad b'_{ij} = \begin{cases} -b_{ij} & \text{if } i = k \text{ or } j = k; \\ b_{ij} + \frac{|b_{ik}|b_{kj} + b_{ik}|b_{kj}|}{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The following properties are checked immediately.

Proposition 3.1.

- (i) *The operation μ_k is an involution.*
- (ii) *The principal part of $\mu_k(\tilde{B})$ is $\mu_k(B)$, where B is the principal part of $\tilde{B}$.*
- (iii) *If B is skew-symmetrizable then so is $\mu_k(B)$.*

The family of matrices $(\tilde{B}(t))$ is now uniquely determined by the following requirement: $\tilde{B}(t') = \mu_k(\tilde{B}(t))$ whenever $t \xrightarrow{k} t'$.

Step 3. The binomial exchange relations for cluster variables take the following form: for every edge $t \xrightarrow{j} t'$ in $\mathbb{T}_n$, we write

$$(3.2) \quad x_i(t') = x_i(t) \quad (i \neq j)$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad x_j(t)x_j(t') = \prod_{i \in \tilde{I}} x_i(t)^{\max(b_{ij}(t), 0)} + \prod_{i \in \tilde{I}} x_i(t)^{\max(-b_{ij}(t), 0)}.$$

Note that, in view of (3.1) and (3.2), the relation (3.3) is symmetric in t and t' .

Step 4. Moving away from t_0 and iterating (3.2) and (3.3) along the way, we can express every cluster variable $x_i(t)$ as a rational function in the initial cluster $\mathbf{x}(t_0)$ with coefficients in the ground ring S . The cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{B})$ is defined as the S -subalgebra of the field of rational functions $S(\mathbf{x}(t_0))$ generated by all cluster variables. Note that this definition does not depend on the choice of an initial cluster. Furthermore, $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{B})$ depends only on the mutation-equivalence class of a matrix $\tilde{B}$, i.e., $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{B})$ is naturally identified with $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{B}')$ for any $\tilde{B}'$ obtained from $\tilde{B}$ by a sequence of matrix mutations.

3.3. The Laurent phenomenon. One of the main structural features of cluster algebras established in [9] is the following *Laurent phenomenon*.

Theorem 3.2. *Any cluster variable viewed as a rational function in the variables of any given cluster is a Laurent polynomial whose coefficients are integer Laurent polynomials in the variables p_i .*

This is quite surprising: the numerators of these Laurent polynomials may contain a huge number of monomials, and the numerator for a cluster variable x moves into the denominator when we compute the cluster variable x' obtained from x by an exchange (3.3). The magic of the Laurent phenomenon is that, at every stage of this recursive process, a cancellation will inevitably occur, leaving a single monomial in the denominator.

As a byproduct of this development, a number of other instances of the Laurent phenomenon spreading beyond the cluster algebra framework were established in [10]. The results include a proof of the conjecture of D. Gale and R. Robinson on integrality of generalized Somos sequences, as well as proofs of the Laurent property for several multidimensional recurrences, conjectured by J. Propp, N. Elkies, and M. Kleber.

3.4. Classification of cluster algebras of finite type. We say that a cluster algebra is of *finite type* if it has finitely many distinct cluster variables (or equivalently, finitely many distinct clusters). A classification of such algebras will be given in a forthcoming paper by S. Fomin and the author. Remarkably, this classification provides yet another instance of the celebrated Cartan-Killing classification. To be more specific, to every skew-symmetrizable matrix $B = (b_{ij})$ one can associate a matrix $A = A(B) = (a_{ij})$ of the same size by setting

$$(3.4) \quad a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = j; \\ -|b_{ij}| & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

The matrix A satisfies the first two conditions in Definition 1.1; such matrices are often referred to as generalized Cartan matrices.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\tilde{B}$ be an integer $m \times n$ matrix whose principal part B is skew-symmetrizable. The cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{B})$ is of finite type if and only if B is mutation equivalent to a matrix B' such that $A(B')$ is a Cartan matrix of finite type, i.e., is a direct sum of the matrices from the Cartan-Killing list $A_n, B_n, \dots, G_2$.*

For cluster algebras of rank 2, this was proved in [9]. The algebra $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}_{2,n+3}]$ discussed above provides an example of a cluster algebra of finite type; its Cartan-Killing type is A_n .

We expect that, for any simply-connected connected semisimple group G , each of the coordinate rings $\mathbb{C}[G]$, $\mathbb{C}[N_- \setminus G]$, $\mathbb{C}[N]$, as well as coordinate rings of many other interesting varieties related to G , have a natural structure of a cluster algebra. By Theorem 3.3, those among these cluster algebras that are of finite type have some kind of hidden symmetry associated to a Cartan-Killing type that may be completely different from the Cartan-Killing type of the ambient group G . Here are some instances of this phenomenon (in each case, a cluster algebra is accompanied by its “cluster type” given by Theorem 3.3):

$$\begin{array}{llll} \mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}_{2,n+3}] & A_n & \mathbb{C}[N_- \setminus SL_3] & A_1 \\ \mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}_{3,6}] & D_4 & \mathbb{C}[N_- \setminus SL_4] & A_3 \\ \mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}_{3,7}] & E_6 & \mathbb{C}[N_- \setminus SL_5] & D_6 \\ \mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}_{3,8}] & E_8 & \mathbb{C}[N_- \setminus Sp_4] & B_2. \end{array}$$

Theorem 3.3 suggests that there must be some connection between a cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of finite type and the semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ associated with its cluster type. Here is just one such connection (it will be proved among many others in a forthcoming sequel to [9]): the number of distinct cluster variables in $\mathcal{A}$ turns out to be equal to the dimension of the base affine space for $\mathfrak{g}$ (equivalently, this is the rank of $\mathfrak{g}$ plus the number of positive roots). For instance, since the cluster algebra $\mathbb{C}[N_- \setminus SL_5]$ has cluster type D_6 , it has 36 cluster variables.

We naturally expect that even when a cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{B})$ is of infinite type, it is closely connected with the Kac-Moody algebra associated to the generalized Cartan matrix $A(B)$. Although some promising first steps in this direction were made in [9]) for the rank 2 case, the general case is still far from being understood.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I thank the organizers of the NATO Advanced Study Institute for the invitation to give these lectures, and the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences for support and hospitality. Special thanks to Sergey Fomin whose hard work helped to make this meeting truly enjoyable. I am also grateful to Sergey for helpful editorial suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Berenstein, S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Parametrizations of canonical bases and totally positive matrices, *Adv. in Math.* **122** (1996), 49–149.
- [2] A. Berenstein and A. Zelevinsky, Canonical bases for the quantum group of type A_r and piecewise-linear combinatorics, *Duke Math. J.* **82** (1996), 473–502.
- [3] A. Berenstein and A. Zelevinsky, Total positivity in Schubert varieties, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **72** (1997), 128–166.
- [4] A. Berenstein and A. Zelevinsky, Tensor product multiplicities, canonical bases and totally positive varieties, *Invent. Math.* **143** (2001), 77–128.
- [5] A. Braverman and D. Gaitsgory, Crystals via the affine Grassmannian, *Duke Math. J.* **107** (2001), 561–575.
- [6] S. Fomin and C. Greene, A Littlewood-Richardson miscellany, *European J. Combin.* **14** (1993), 191–212.
- [7] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Double Bruhat cells and total positivity, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (1999), 335–380.
- [8] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Totally nonnegative and oscillatory elements in semisimple groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), 3749–3759.
- [9] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras I: Foundations, Electronic preprint ArXiv:math.RT/0104151, April 2001, 33pp., to appear in *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*
- [10] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, The Laurent phenomenon, Electronic preprint ArXiv:math.CO/0104241 April 2001, 21pp., to appear in *Adv. in Applied Math.*
- [11] I. M. Gelfand and A. Zelevinsky, Canonical basis in irreducible representations of gl_3 and its applications, in: *Group theoretical methods in physics, Vol. II* (Jurmala, 1985), 127–146, VNU Sci. Press, Utrecht, 1986.
- [12] J. Kung and G.-C. Rota, The invariant theory of binary forms, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **10** (1984), 27–85.
- [13] P. Littelmann, A Littlewood-Richardson rule for symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebras, *Invent. Math.* **116** (1994), 329–346.
- [14] G. Lusztig, Introduction to quantum groups, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [15] G. Lusztig, Total positivity in reductive groups, in: *Lie theory and geometry: in honor of Bertram Kostant, Progress in Mathematics* **123**, Birkhäuser, 1994.
- [16] G. Lusztig, Braid group action and canonical bases, *Adv. in Math.* **122** (1996), 237–261.
- [17] I. Mirković and K. Vilonen, Perverse sheaves on affine Grassmannians and Langlands duality, *Math. Res. Lett.* **7** (2000), 13–24.
- [18] K.P. Partasarathy, V.S. Ranga Rao and Varadarajan, Representations of complex semisimple Lie groups and Lie algebras, *Ann. Math.* **85** (1967), 383–429.
- [19] V. Retakh and A. Zelevinsky, The base affine space and canonical bases in irreducible representations of the group $Sp(4)$, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **37** (1988), no. 3, 618–622.
- [20] B. Shapiro, M. Shapiro, A. Vainshtein and A. Zelevinsky, Simply-laced Coxeter groups and groups generated by symplectic transvections, *Michigan Math. J.* **48** (2000), 531–551.
- [21] B. Sturmfels, Algorithms in invariant theory. Texts and Monographs in Symbolic Computation. Springer-Verlag, Vienna, 1993.
- [22] A. Zelevinsky, Connected components of real double Bruhat cells, *Intern. Math. Res. Notices* 2000, No. 21, 1131–1153.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY, BOSTON, MA 02115

E-mail address: **andrei@neu.edu**